

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,188	11/29/2001	Scott Jorgensen	jorgensen	1033	
26496	7590 03/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
	RG & LIEBERMAN		ZURITA, J	ZURITA, JAMES H	
	LADELPHIA AVE. A PARK, MD 20912		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3625	<del></del>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		<u></u>				
(		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
,	Office Action Summany	09/683,188	JORGENSEN, SCOTT	4		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MANUNC DATE of this	James H Zurita	3625			
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON at cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Sta	itus					
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N	lovember 2001.				
:	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<u>.</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under E	<i>=x рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dis	position of Claims					
	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.				
Ар	plication Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
_	Chment(s)	م المسلمان الم	Summary (DTO 442)			
2) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to merchandising, classified in class 186, subclass 52.
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to e-commerce, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I requires (a) displaying furniture in an environment where said furniture is employed as furniture, (b) directing customers to visit the environment where said furniture is employed as furniture.

The subcombination has separate utility such as entities negotiating relationships with the sales entities and the producing entities for requests, purchases, payments, terms, expectations, or training.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant chooses *Invention I* the applicant must select one of the following species:

Art Unit: 3625

l.a 1, 2 l.b 1, 3 l.c 1, 4 l.d 15 l.e 1, 6 l.f 1, 7

If applicant chooses *Invention II* the applicant must select one of the following species:

II.a 8, 9 II.b 8, 10 II.c 8, 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Art Unit: 3625

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A complete reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even through the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H Zurita whose telephone number is 703-605-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on 8a-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**James Zurita** Patent Examiner Art Unit 3625

05 March 2005